

68-3049

ILLEGIB

2 July 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: ADDI

SUBJECT : Mapping, Charting and Geodasy

ST, MC & G
1
Copy for AC

1. This is just to let you know that I have read [redacted] memo of 7 June to you on this subject and although I find it very interesting and informative, I don't agree with [redacted] viewpoint.

2. In my opinion, MC&G is not a matter that should be placed under intelligence cognizance. I inveighed against this decision when it was made in the Department of Defense. The Air Force didn't like it either. However the convenience for the Department of Defense of having MC&G managed under one authority, the Director, DIA, was administratively too attractive for [redacted] to resist.

3. I see no objection from the standpoint of administrative convenience to having MC&G supervised and managed by the Director, DIA. I don't believe this should lead us into thinking that it's an intelligence matter, however. My opinion is that whatever authorities manage the MC&G program, the only function the DCI and USIB should have is to determine the extent to which intelligence collection and processing activities can fulfill MC&G requirements without detriment to their primary interests in intelligence collection. It shouldn't be too difficult to cost out intelligence expenditures chargeable to MC&G for which we could be reimbursed or not, as convenient. However, if MC&G is to be managed by an intelligence authority for whatever reasons, my opinion is that all costs related to such efforts should be carried as non-add items in the CIP and, therefore, not a matter of cognizance for the DCI and USIB.

4. As to map and geodetic accuracy, I regard this as part of the fire control problem having no relation to identification and classification of targets.

SIGNED:

Rufus Taylor
Vice Admiral, U. S. Navy
Deputy Director

JUL 3 10 58 AM '68

ILLEGIB

SECRET



1 recd